IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

Writ Petition No. 1610 of 2007
Ravi v. Prabhakar

--

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

Mr A.J. Thakkar, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr C.S. Lahahar, Advocate for respondent.

Coram : A.B. Chaudhari, J

Dated : 19th July 2007

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Concurrent findings of facts recorded by the Courts below are sought to be challenged in this petition. Both the Courts have concurrently held that the petitioner is not the grand-son of Mulchand, original tenant who was defendant no. 1 in the suit that was filed by landlord for possession.

Having gone through the findings recorded by both the Courts below and having heard the learned counsel for the parties, I find that the Courts below have discussed documentary and oral evidence in enough details and have, on the basis of probabilities, held

accordingly. I do not find any reason to interfere with the said findings of facts recorded by the Courts below in extraordinary writ jurisdiction. Petition is dismissed in limine.

Judge.

hsj